

3. Carbon Credit Markets

a. Voluntary Carbon Markets (VCM)
Platforms for Trading: Gold Standard, Verra’s Verified Carbon Standard (VCS), American Carbon Registry (ACR), Singapore Carbon Exchange (SCX), Xpansiv CBL

b. Compliance Carbon Markets (ETS - Emission Trading Schemes)

- EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS): Requires regulatory alignment.
- China’s ETS: Allows selected international projects.
- California Cap-and-Trade: Can accept foreign carbon credits with proper registration.

4. Case Study: Noor Abu Dhabi Solar Farm

- Capacity: 1.17GW
- CO₂ Reduction: ~1 million tCO₂/year
- Market: Voluntary Carbon Market
- Standard: Verra’s Verified Carbon Standard (VCS)
- Buyers: Large corporations seeking carbon offsets
- Revenue: Estimated between \$10M – \$20M per year from carbon credit sales

Key Takeaways:

- Noor Abu Dhabi’s success in Verra certification can be replicated for PNG.
- Corporations such as Google, Microsoft, and Amazon actively purchase solar-derived credits.
- Listing on Singapore Carbon Exchange (<https://www.sgx.com/climate-impact-x-cix>) or Xpansiv CBL (<https://xpansiv.com/>) will increase PNG’s market access.

Transmissions Lines to National Electricity Grid

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Household use | 6.6E+06 | w/yr | 7.5E+02 | HVDC line | 800 | ohm/km | 0.55 |
| People per house | 2.5 | | | Short line | 20 | Sag | 5% |
| Light Industry Vs Residential | 2.702702703 | | | Med line | 100 | Worst Power Factor | 90% |
| Demand factor | 3 | | | Long line | 600 | Substation size | 6E+07 |
| Load growth | 0.2 | | | Generator V | 11000 | Bus bar Amps | |
| Years of growth | 15 | | | Transmission V | 132000 | Feeders per bus | 4 |
| Transmission eff | 95% | | | Feeder V | 11000 | Bus bars per substation | 2 |
| Voltage regulation | 5% | | Distribution V | 240 | Feeder Amps | 400 | Voltage regulation |
| Buffer | 2 | | Freq | 50 | Feeder Length (km) | 16 | Buffer |
| Power per m² per hr | 1.633333333 watts | | Distribution length (km) | 16 | | | Power per m² per hr |

Assumptions:
Transmission line overhead cost: \$100k - \$500k/km. Underground power can cost up to 5x more than above ground.
HV substation \$40 - 50M.

5. Steps for PNG Government to Monetize Carbon Credits

- Step 1: Certification & Registration**
- Apply for Verra (VCS) or Gold Standard certification.
 - Conduct third-party validation of emission reductions.
- Step 2: Market Participation & Sales Strategy**
- List credits on trading platforms: SCX, Xpansiv CBL, or direct corporate sales.
 - Government-to-Government (G2G) Carbon Deals: Engage with Singapore and China for ETS integration.
- Step 3: Policy & Infrastructure Development**
- Establish a PNG National Carbon Trading Framework.
 - Integrate with regional compliance markets (EU ETS, China ETS).
 - Set up a government-led carbon credit fund to reinvest revenues into clean energy.

By leveraging real-world case studies like Noor Abu Dhabi and aligning with international carbon markets, the PNG Government can generate a healthy carbon revenue per annum.



Sustainable World Organization

CASE STUDY

Solar Farm (50MW to 3.0GW)

Project Overview

- **Components:** Solar PV panels, inverters, optional battery storage, and grid transmission infrastructure
- **Objective:** Renewable energy generation with modular scalability from 50MW to 3.0GW
- **Economic Benefit:** Energy tariffs at \$0.10/kWh, making power supply affordable

Financial Feasibility

| Parameter | Value (USD) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Proposed Tariff | \$0.10/kWh |
| Proposed Development Costs | \$0.80/watt |
| CAPEX (50MW) | \$40M |
| CAPEX (100MW) | \$80M |
| CAPEX (500MW) | \$400M |
| CAPEX (1.0GW) | \$800M |
| CAPEX (3.0GW) | \$2.4B |
| OPEX (O&M) | 1.5% - 2.5% of CAPEX/year |
| 10-Year ROI | ~18.4% |
| 20-Year ROI | ~36.5% |
| Breakeven Period | ~6-7 years |

Solar Irradiance Data

| Month | GlobHor kWh/m² | DiffHor kWh/m² | T_Amb °C | GlobInc kWh/m² | GlobEff kWh/m² | EArray kWh | E_Grid kWh | PR ratio |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------|
| January | 216.2 | 86.5 | 25.56 | 213.7 | 212.2 | 9219082 | 9086012 | 0.85 |
| February | 170.9 | 74.94 | 24.87 | 173.2 | 171.8 | 7560143 | 7455296 | 0.863 |
| March | 179.3 | 60.74 | 23.44 | 187.9 | 187.9 | 8261699 | 8165195 | 0.863 |
| April | 146.5 | 47.36 | 19.82 | 162.9 | 161.9 | 7290242 | 7188251 | 0.863 |
| May | 114.4 | 40.16 | 16.31 | 130.0 | 129.5 | 5997093 | 5907493 | 0.859 |
| June | 93.2 | 32.76 | 13.51 | 104.0 | 103.9 | 5103990 | 5033503 | 0.857 |
| July | 106.4 | 32.18 | 12.68 | 120.3 | 120.1 | 5033503 | 3722543 | 0.853 |
| August | 125.2 | 40.29 | 14.93 | 141.0 | 140.9 | 6013333 | 5927137 | 0.853 |
| September | 158.5 | 49.87 | 18.02 | 171.2 | 171.0 | 7695102 | 7613682 | 0.853 |
| October | 187.8 | 73.07 | 22.54 | 197.4 | 197.2 | 8469106 | 8396615 | 0.857 |
| November | 197.8 | 72.62 | 22.54 | 196.7 | 195.0 | 8507205 | 8386615 | 0.853 |
| December | 212.6 | 92.67 | 24.51 | 208.2 | 206.4 | 9045835 | 8951485 | 0.857 |
| Year | 1908.7 | 706.7 | 19.6 | 2018.4 | 2003.2 | 89412780 | 88161902 | 0.874 |

Revenue Projections

| Installed Capacity | Annual Energy Output (MWh) | Annual Revenue (@ \$0.10/kWh) | Average 10-Year Revenue | Average 20-Year Revenue |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 50MW | 88161 | \$8,816,190.00 | \$88,161,900.00 | \$176,323,800.00 |
| 100MW | 176323 | \$17,632,380.00 | \$176,323,800.00 | \$352,647,600.00 |
| 500MW | 881615 | \$88,161,900.00 | \$881,619,000.00 | \$1,763,238,000.00 |
| 1.0GW | 1763230 | \$176,323,800.00 | \$1,763,238,000.00 | \$3,526,476,000.00 |
| 3.0GW | 5289690 | \$528,971,400.00 | \$5,289,714,000.00 | \$10,579,428,000.00 |

Reference: 50 MW solar farm in Queensland, Australia using JA Solar 620W PV module. Benchmark: 1 MW solar farm = 1,763,238 kWh / annum (88,161,902 kWh / annum ÷ [50 MW x 1,000 KW]) = 1,763.23 MWh/ Annum

Case Study: Noor Abu Dhabi Solar Plant, UAE

https://noorabudhabi.ae/



- Installed Capacity: 1.18GW
- CAPEX: ~\$870M (approx. \$0.74/W)

CO2 Carbon Credits from Renewable Energy: Solar Farm

Proposal for Monetization of Carbon Credits from the PNG Government Solar Farm

1. Introduction

The Papua New Guinea (PNG) Government is developing a large-scale solar farm as part of its renewable energy transition. In addition to providing clean electricity, the project has the potential to generate and sell carbon credits on international markets. This proposal outlines the methodology, revenue potential, and case study-based strategies for trading carbon credits derived from the PNG solar project.

2. Carbon Credit Potential from PNG Solar Farm Assumptions

- The solar farm will offset emissions from PNG's grid, which currently relies on fossil fuels.
- According to global energy standards, each megawatt-hour (MWh) of solar power displaces approximately 0.7 metric tons (tCO₂).
- The project will be implemented in phases, with potential capacities ranging from 50MW to 3.0GW.

Estimated Annual CO₂ Offset from the Solar Farm

| Capacity | Annual Energy Output (MWh) | Estimated CO ₂ Reduction (tCO ₂) |
|----------|----------------------------|---|
| 50MW | 88161 | 61712 |
| 100MW | 176323 | 123426 |
| 500MW | 881615 | 617130 |
| 1.0GW | 1763230 | 1234261 |
| 3.0GW | 5289690 | 3702783 |

Estimated Carbon Credit Revenue (at approximate USD 7.00 per tCO₂, and USD 30.00 per tCO₂,)

| Capacity | Annual Energy Output (MWh) | Estimated CO ₂ Reduction (tCO ₂) | Revenue at USD7/tCO ₂ / annum | Revenue at USD30/tCO ₂ / annum |
|----------|----------------------------|---|--|---|
| 50MW | 88161 | 61712 | \$431,984 | \$3,702,780 |
| 100MW | 176323 | 123426 | \$863,982 | \$18,513,900 |
| 500MW | 881615 | 617130 | \$4,319,910 | \$37,027,830 |
| 1.0GW | 1763230 | 1234261 | \$8,639,827 | \$111,083,490 |
| 3.0GW | 5289690 | 3702783 | \$25,919,481 | \$3,702,780 |

Benchmark

1 MW = 1,763.23 mWh clean solar energy / annum
1 MWh = 0.7 tCO₂ savings / annum
1 Metric Ton of CO₂ Equivalent (tCO₂e) = 1 Carbon Credit

The carbon offset from solar energy is calculated by estimating the emissions avoided when replacing fossil-fuel-generated electricity with renewable energy. The key factor is the grid emission factor, which measures the average CO₂ emissions produced per MWh of electricity generated in a given country or region.

- Global Average Grid Emission Factors (tCO₂/MWh)
- Coal-based grid: 0.8 – 1.2 tCO₂/MWh
 - Oil-based grid: 0.7 – 1.0 tCO₂/MWh
 - Gas-based grid: 0.4 – 0.6 tCO₂/MWh
 - Global average (IEA data): ~0.7 tCO₂/MWh

Case study:

<https://www.senken.io/academy/pricing-of-carbon-credits#:~:text=Carbon%20Credit%20Pricing%20forecast&text=The%20amount%20of%20lower%2Dquality,50%20per%20credit%20in%202023>

- Carbon credit prices are primarily influenced by the following characteristics:
- **Supply and demand**
 - **Project Category**
 - **Carbon credits** from Tech-based solutions price much higher than credits from Nature-based solutions, due to complex systems that are costly to engineer.
 - **Quality** Verified Credits from projects with high scores.

Price

Status quo: The average price per carbon credit skyrocketed since 2021, rising by 82% from \$4.04 per ton in 2021 to \$7.37 in 2022, and taking a slight dip in 2023 to \$6.97 - Ecosystem Marketplace (<https://www.ecosystemmarketplace.com/publications/state-of-the-voluntary-carbon-market-report-2023/>)

The average price of \$6.97 can be misleading in terms of what companies think they should end up paying per credit. the amount of lower-quality carbon credits on the market has a significant impact on the average price, and its paramount to rather go for higher-quality credits that contain less risk. We have seen the average price of a quality credit being closer to **\$30 - \$50 per credit in 2023.**

